

## Detailed Guide to Philadelphia Naming Test (PNT) Scoring

Part I of this guide defines the terms, procedures, and error categories relevant to *Conventional Scoring* of the PNT. Part II explains the modifications to the system required for application of the weight-decay or semantic-phonologic naming model (*Model Scoring*) (e.g., Dell et al., 1997; Schwartz et al., 2006; and to apply the model-fitting program: <http://langprod.cogsci.uiuc.edu/cgi-bin/webfit.cgi> ). Part III describes a more elaborate, two-level coding system for the PNT (Roach et al., 1996). Throughout this guide, targets are shown in parentheses, responses are in quotation marks.

### Part I: Conventional Scoring

**Complete Attempt (C):** On each trial, score the subject's first "Complete Attempt", defined as: The first minimally CV (consonant-vowel) or VC response (schwa is not counted as a vowel) that meets one of the following criteria:

- 1) The attempt is not self-interrupted (cut-off) and has clear downward or upward/questioning intonation; may or may not be separated from a subsequent attempt by a noticeable pause.
- 2) The attempt is not self-interrupted (cut-off), is spoken with level intonation, and is followed by a noticeable pause (informal 1 sec. count). If there is no noticeable pause preceding a subsequent attempt, the attempt is not complete (see "Fragment").

Multisyllabic targets (3 or more syllables) have special considerations:

- Unless the following exceptions apply, a complete attempt to a multisyllabic target can not be monosyllabic.  
*e.g.*, (helicopter) → "cop" not a complete response (see "Fragment")  
 (microscope) → "cup" not a complete response (see "Fragment")

*Exceptions:* the monosyllabic response is related to the target semantically or comprises one of its morphemes; in this case, the attempt is considered complete and scored as an error:

- e.g.*, (octopus) → "squid" a complete response (see "Semantic Error")  
 (cheerleaders) → "cheer" a complete response (see "Morpheme Omission" under "Miscellaneous")

Additional scoring examples follow:

(iron) → "uh <sup>C</sup>key /f-/ /s::ɪt/ key /fər-/ you do those thing upstairs"

(belt) → "/fɒpə/ ←(schwa) <sup>C</sup>/bɛn/ /bɛnt/ /'bɛn-təl/ it's a /bɛnt/, it's a /bɛnt/ oh"

(thermometer) → "/fɪl-/ ←(self-interrupted) <sup>C</sup>/fɪl-'am-ə-Də/ /ə-'θam-ə-Də/ /bə-'θam/"

(eskimo) → "oh the /sko::/ ←(level intonation and no noticeable pause before the next response)

<sup>C</sup>  
/skot/ uh uh man that in the winter"

**Correct (✓):** The target as given on the score-sheet; with the following exceptions:

## PHILADELPHIA NAMING TEST

<u>target</u>	<u>alternate correct response</u>
#26 (waterfall)→	“falls”
#124 (mountain)→	“mountain top”

- Allow for addition or deletion of plural morpheme.
- Allow for addition of prepositional phrase *e.g.*, (can) → "can of peas".
- Allow for addition of modifier, *e.g.*, (bone) → "dog's bone".
- If the subject says "type of X" where X is the target, the answer is correct.
- Do not penalize non-standard English speakers for omission of the final consonant in consonant clusters *e.g.*, (desk)→“/dɛs/“, if it is consistent with their dialectal pattern.
- Optional lenient scoring: Patients with a motor speech disorder (*e.g.*, dysarthria, speech apraxia) may be allowed one sound omission, addition, or substitution per response when considering correctness.

**Description (D):** A response that provides a characterization of the target, or attempts to explain its function or purpose.

*e.g.*, (fireplace)→ "that's where you put the /w/ /wud/."  
(well) → “in a ringer around under all of it has water”

The following are coded as Description:

- A single verb, adjective, or adverb that has a semantic relationship to the target is coded as Description, not Semantic,  
*e.g.*, (skis) → "skiing",  
(dinosaur)→ "scary "  
(scarf) → "outside"
- A single verb, adjective or adverb that has no relationship to the target or does not characterize it in any way is also coded as Description,  
*e.g.*, (beard)→“hit”
- A response in the form "type of X" where "X" is a superordinate of the target.  
*e.g.*, (apple)→ “type of fruit”
- A response that negates the target, *e.g.*, (dinosaur)→ “not a dinosaur”
- A response that includes a carrier phrase with the name of the target,  
*e.g.*, (door)→”open the door”

*Response types that do not meet the criteria for Description:*

- A response that is a general or personal commentary, *e.g.*, (strawberry)→“I had them last night”. (see " No Response").
- A response that includes spelling, *e.g.*, (basket)→ “it begins with a B”. (see "No Response").
- Single verbs, adjectives, and adverbs that have a phonological relationship to the target: (see “Formal Error”).

**Formal Error (F):** A real word response that meets the criterion for Phonological Similarity to the target (see below under “Phonological Similarity”).

*e.g.*, (snail)→ “monster”, (ghost)→ “stop”, (basket)→ “below”

## PHILADELPHIA NAMING TEST

*The Formal Error category excludes the following:*

- Formal errors exclude substitutions or additions of morphemes in compound words, *e.g.*, (microscope)→ “telescope”. (see “Semantic Error”)
- Formal errors exclude morphological omissions in multi-morphemic targets (including compounds), *e.g.*, (ruler)→ “rule”, (fireman)→ “fire”. (see “Miscellaneous”).

**Fragment (f):** A minimally CV (consonant-vowel) or VC response (schwa not counted as a vowel), which is abbreviated in that either:

- the attempt (partial or whole word) was self-interrupted (cut off)  
*e.g.*, (cane) → "/kre-/" (where the dash “/...-/" indicates voicing was cut off)  
(seal)→”snail-“ (the whole word is self-interrupted)  
or
- a monosyllabic response was given to a multisyllabic target (3 or more syllables)  
*e.g.*, (calendar) →"/kæl /" (a monosyllabic response to a multisyllabic target)  
(see exceptions under "Complete Attempt")  
or
- an utterance with level intonation is followed immediately (i.e. without noticeable pause) by another attempt.

Note: You do not have to enter Fragments into the score-sheet to code them, but you must be able to identify them in order to bypass them in search of first Complete Attempt.

**Miscellaneous:** *Conventional Scoring* typically includes the following types of responses:

- **Blend:** A word or nonword response that is a blend of two synonyms to the target, or a blend of the target and an identifiable synonym: There must be close semantic association and phonological similarity:  
*e.g.*, (pineapple)→ “/bənæpəl/” (blend of banana and pineapple, or apple)
- **Morpheme Omission:** A response that is a morphological omission in a multi-morphemic target (including compounds):  
*e.g.*, (ruler)→ “rule”, (fireman)→ “fire”
- **Phonological Jargon:** *e.g.*, (dog)→ “he’s down /rʊmən-æzər/ a /bɛs-kləf/”
- **Picture Part Error:** A word or nonword response that is a component of the depicted target:  
*e.g.*, (bride)→ “veil” - where picture shows bride wearing a veil
- This category may also include **Phonologically Unrelated Nonwords** (see below under “Nonword”), i.e. a nonword response that fails to meet the criteria for Phonological Similarity: *e.g.*, (flashlight)→ “/mə-nɔk/”
- **Proper Nouns:** A proper noun that is unrelated to the target phonologically or semantically (see below for Proper Noun).

## PHILADELPHIA NAMING TEST

**Mixed Error (M):** A real word response that satisfies the criterion for Phonological Similarity (see "Phonological Similarity") and bears an obvious semantic relation to the target.

*e.g., (hat)→"hood", (strawberries) →"cherries"*

*Response types that do not meet the criteria for "Mixed Error":*

- Mixed Errors exclude responses that result from substitution or addition of morphemes in compound words:  
*e.g., (microscope)→ "telescope". (see "Semantic Error")*
- Mixed Errors exclude responses that result from omission of morphemes in multi-morphemic targets (including compounds):  
*e.g., (ruler)→ "rule", (cheerleaders)→"cheer" (see "Miscellaneous").*

**Nonword- Phonologically Related (N):** A nonword response that meets the criterion for Phonological Similarity to the target. (see "Phonological Similarity")

*e.g., (dinosaur)→ "/dɑɪ-nə-sɔrəs/"*

**Nonword- Phonologically Unrelated; (a.k.a. Abstruse Neologism -AN):** A nonword response that does not meet the criterion for Phonological Similarity to target. (see "Phonological Similarity")

*e.g., (apple) → /fʊgər/*

In conventional coding, this may include responses that are phonologically related to a semantic relative of the target

*e.g., (apple) → /bənʊnə/*

**No response (NR):** Subject indicates verbally or non-verbally that s/he cannot name the picture. Also includes the spelling of words aloud, sound effects, and whispered responses.

*e.g., "I know it but I can't say it"  
(spider)→ "W-I-D-E-R"  
(owl)→ "hoot"  
(frog) → "/rɪbɪt/*

**Perseveration (P):** In conventional scoring, this is generally a second-order code, indicating that a response whose primary code is Semantic, Mixed, Formal, or Unrelated is one that was produced by the subject on a previous trial within the same session.

*e.g., (can)→ "cup" with a prior response of "cup": M (P)*

**Phonological error:** Any error, word or nonword, that meets the Phonological Similarity criterion;

Includes: Phonologically Related Nonwords; *e.g., (dinosaur)→ "/dɑɪ-nə-sɔrəs/"*  
Formal errors; *e.g., (snail)→ "monster"*

**Phonological Similarity:** Target and response share:

- the stressed vowel, initial or final phonemes;

## PHILADELPHIA NAMING TEST

*e.g.*, (can)→"comb", (well)→"ball", (rope)→"hose", (tractor)→ "wagon" (share the /æ/),

(octopus)→"otter", (vest)→"jacket"

or

- two or more phonemes (including stressed vowels but excluding unstressed vowels) at any position;

*e.g.*, (octopus)→ "spider" (share two phonemes - /s/ and /p/)

or

- one or more phonemes at a corresponding syllable and word positions, aligning words from left to right ;

*e.g.*, (seal)→ "dol-phin" (share the /l/)

(ca-me-ra)→ "pho-to-graph" (/r/ at corresponding syllable position -onset of syllable- and corresponding word position -third syllable of target and response)

(ther-mo-me-ter)→ "ca-mel"

*NOTE:*

- Plural morphemes and schwa are not counted in determining Phonological Similarity.
- Consonant clusters are treated as a unit when determining syllable position. The following do have Phonological Similarity:  
*e.g.*, (cane)→ "hand" (syllable position of /n/ corresponds as part of consonant cluster)  
(tractor)→ "rug" (share the initial /r/);  
(plant)→ "fern" (share the /n/);

*Fails test for Phonological Similarity:*

*e.g.*, (type-wri-ter)→ "/rɪŋ-kə/"

(/r/ is at a corresponding syllable position -onset of syllable- but not corresponding word position- second syllable of target versus first syllable of response)

*e.g.*, (di-no-saur)→ "pos-sum"

(/s/ is at corresponding syllable position -onset of syllable- but not corresponding word position when aligned left-to-right- third syllable of target versus second syllable of response)

*e.g.*, (map)→ "pin"

(One sound is in common, but it is not in a corresponding position.)

**Proper Nouns:** Proper Noun responses that have a semantic relationship to the target are coded as Semantic, *e.g.*, (tractor)→ "John Deere"

## PHILADELPHIA NAMING TEST

Responses that have only a phonological response to the target are coded as Phonologically-Related Nonwords,

*e.g.*, (dinosaur) → “Dinah Shore”

Responses that are unrelated phonologically or semantically to the target are classified as Miscellaneous,

*e.g.*, (book) → “Canada”

**Semantic Error (S):** A real word noun response related to the target by one of the following:

synonym	<i>e.g.</i> ,	(toilet) → "commode"
category coordinate	<i>e.g.</i> ,	(banana) → "apple"
superordinate	<i>e.g.</i> ,	(apple) → "fruit"
subordinate	<i>e.g.</i> ,	(flower) → "rose"
associated	<i>e.g.</i> ,	(bench) → "park"
diminutives	<i>e.g.</i> ,	( dog) → “ doggie”

- A related proper name that notes an association, *e.g.*, (star) → “Cowboys”
- The addition or substitution of a morpheme in a compound word:  
*e.g.*, (microscope) → "telescope"

**Unrelated Error (U):** A word substitution that does not qualify as semantically or phonologically related to the target.

- Includes responses that bear a purely visual relation to the target: *e.g.*, (waterfall) → “tie”
- Includes target unrelated responses that persevere a response from a previous trial within the same session: *e.g.*, (ghost) → "book"

**Visual Error:** (see “Unrelated error” above)

# PHILADELPHIA NAMING TEST

## Part II: Model scoring

<http://langprod.cogsci.uiuc.edu/cgi-bin/webfit.cgi>

For model scoring of the PNT using the online model-fit program, the following response codes are relevant:

1. Correct- See Part I
2. Semantic Error- See Part I
3. Formal Error- See Part I
4. Mixed Error- See Part I
5. Unrelated Error- See Part I
6. Nonword- Includes both phonologically-related and phonologically-unrelated nonwords, a.k.a. Abstruse Neologisms (defined in Part I)  
\*\*\*\*\*
7. Miscellaneous- As defined in Part I, except that phonologically-unrelated nonwords are not counted here, but instead are counted in the nonword category.
8. Non-naming responses (a.k.a. "Omissions")- comprises No Response and Description Errors, as defined in Part I, as well as Miscellaneous Errors, as defined above.

Note: When using the model-fitting program, enter counts for the first six categories only. In the default mode, these counts are automatically converted to proportions that sum to 1.0, thereby normalizing for non-naming responses (see inclusive no. 8 above) (for rationale, see Dell et al., 2004). The user should enter the total for the six categories in the cell marked "N" so that the model computes the appropriate Chi-Square value.

# PHILADELPHIA NAMING TEST

## **Part III: Two-level coding system for the PNT (Roach et al., 1996)**

A unique two-level coding system that differs from the conventional method of scoring was developed for the PNT. Most responses can be given a two-level code that allows for closer analysis and theoretical implications. A level 1 code classifies the response at a lexical level and a level 2 code classifies the response at a phonological level:

### **Level 1 codes (Lexical level):**

1. Correct- See Part I
2. Target Attempt (TA)- a response that satisfies the criteria for phonological similarity (See Part I for phon.sim.) between the subject's response and the objective target. Target attempts must take a Level 2 code. Conventional scores for Formal errors, phonologically-related nonwords, and miscellaneous- morpheme omissions are captured here.
3. Semantic- See Part I
4. Mixed- See Part I
5. Other- unrelated verbal paraphasia; a one-word response (real word) that does not fit any of the other Level 1 codes; includes visual errors. Conventional scoring for these type errors is included in Unrelated errors in Part I.
6. Blend- See Miscellaneous in Part I
7. Picture Part- See Miscellaneous in Part I
8. Perseveration- At Level 1 perseveration refers to the duplication of a response produced by the subject on any previous trial within the same session. Level 1 perseverations break down as follows:
  - (a) unambiguous perseverations - Enter "P" in the Level 1 column.
  - (b) one of multiple possibilities - Enter "(P)" next to the Level 1 code.  
*e.g.* (can) - with prior response "cup":  
response "cup" is M (P)However, there is no O(P)

superordinate description having generalized applicability is repeatedly given as the response (3 or more times), code this response as a description, not a perseveration.

*e.g.*, (strawberry, apple, banana) → "fruit"  
(Perseverations were included in Unrelated errors in Part I)

9. Description- See Part I
10. No Response- See Part I

### **Level 2 codes (Phonological level):**

1. Sound error/word outcome (S/W):  
A Level 2 code indicating that the phonological structure of the response deviates from the Level 1 target and constitutes a real word; must be found in the dictionary; excludes proper nouns. To classify as a real word, the response must be listed in the Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary; inflected forms of entries are also accepted as words.  
*e.g.* (corn) - cab



## PHILADELPHIA NAMING TEST

### 2. Sound error/nonword outcome (S/NW):

A Level 2 code indicating that the phonological structure of the response deviates from the Level 1 target and does not constitute a real word; includes proper nouns that have no semantic relation; very obscure words, and derivational forms of words (e.g., “plower - one who plows; sipper” – one who sips).

*e.g.* (ghost) - "/goθ/"

### 3. Morpheme omission (MO):

A Level 2 code applicable to multi-morphemic targets (including compounds). Can only be used with target attempts;

*e.g.* (cheerleaders) - "cheer" (TA MO)  
(fireman) - "fire" (TA MO),

### Application of Level 2 codes:

Level 1: Target Attempts must take a Level 2 code

Level 1: Semantic, Mixed, Picture Part may take a Level 2 code

Level 1: Other only takes a Level 2 code only if the response is a non-word (abstruse neologism)

Level 1: Blend or Perseveration can not take a Level 2 code