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Four Topics for this Presentation

1. Review current *TMS treatment protocol* with Nonfluent aphasia patients to improve naming

3. *Overt Naming fMRI* studies, Pre- and Post- a series of TMS treatments

5. *TMS plus Speech Therapy* studies

7. *DTI studies of two parts of Broca’s area*: Pars Triangularis (PTr) vs. Pars Opercularis (POp) connections to Arcuate Fasciculus and posterior language zones.
Since 1996, functional imaging studies with *nonfluent* aphasia patients, have observed *unusually high activation* in *R perisylvian* language homologues during various language tasks.

Rosen et al., 2000

Belin et al., 1996
Perani et al., 2003
Naeser et al., 2004
Belin et al. (1996) have suggested that increased, abnormal activation patterns may not necessarily be related to recovery, rather, increased abnormal activation may be a marker of maladaptive plasticity.

Rosen et al., (2000) concluded that "...the anomalous R frontal response after L frontal damage may reflect...the loss of active inhibition or competitive interaction from the homologous L frontal area, or...an inefficient 'dead-end' strategy."

Belin et al. (1996) have suggested that increased, abnormal activation patterns may not necessarily be related to recovery, rather, increased abnormal activation may be...a marker of maladaptive plasticity.
Rationale for rTMS to Improve Naming in Nonfluent Aphasia:

Since 1 Hz rTMS can be used to suppress a cortical ROI (approximately 1 cc in size), we apply slow, 1 Hz rTMS to specific RH ROIs where high activation on fMRI in nonfluent aphasia was observed.

Hypothesis:
That 1 Hz rTMS will decrease this "over-activation” and promote inhibition in R hemisphere ROIs and promote better overall modulation, in the bilateral neural network for naming.
Topic 1. Current TMS treatment protocol with Nonfluent aphasia patients to improve naming

- Inclusion Criteria

- Phase 1: Locate “Best Response” RH cortical ROI to suppress with 1 Hz rTMS

- Phase 2: Suppress the “Best Response” RH ROI for a longer period of time, and during ten sessions.
Inclusion Criteria, Nonfluent Aphasia Patients:

Single, unilateral, L hemisphere stroke
At least 6 months poststroke onset
R-handed; Native speaker of English
Ages 41-80 Yr.; No seizure within 1 Yr.

Nonfluent Speech - Elicited, Propositional Speech
1-4 Word, Longest Phrase

Minimum Criterion for Entry:
Must be able to name at least 3 Pictures of
first 20 Pictures, Boston Naming Test

Naeser, Martin, Nicholas et al., 2005 Brain Lang
Phase 1

- Locate the Best Response RH, cortical region of interest (ROI) to suppress with rTMS
- Examine at least 4 separate RH cortical ROIs, in separate rTMS sessions
- Each ROI suppressed with slow, 1 Hz rTMS, 90% motor threshold (L FDI), 10 min.
- **Best Response ROI** is determined by Snodgrass & Vanderwart picture naming (and Response Time)
  - immediately post- rTMS to suppress an ROI. 20 pictures on a S&V List.
  - Baseline was established earlier, across 5 S&V Lists for Picture Naming.
  - Best Response ROI, Picture Naming (20-item S&V List) = +2 SD above Baseline (Effect is temporary)

Phase 2

- Suppress **Best Response RH, ROI**
- Longer Treatment (20 Minutes)
- More Days (10 Days)
- To examine whether naming can be improved for 2 mo. or more, post- rTMS treatment series
Vertical Ascending Sulcus often considered to separate Pars Triangularis (BA 45), Pars Opercularis (BA 44)
Sample Phase 1 rTMS Session, Suppression of a R Hemisphere ROI

Pre- rTMS Baseline Naming:
Five, 20-item Snodgrass & Vanderwart Picture Lists

Immediate Post- rTMS Naming:
One List of 20 Pictures, Snodgrass & Vanderwart

Phase 1 Results:

Significant effect of *site-specific rTMS* suppression on both:

- number of pictures named correctly
  
  \( (F= 14.63; \text{ df } 3,15; \text{ p}=0.0001) \)

- and *RT for word production*
  
  \( (F= 5.62; \text{ df } 3,15; \text{ p}=0.009) \).

Patients *named significantly more items* 

after *1 Hz rTMS to suppress R PTr* 

than to 

R POp (Fisher’s PLSD post-hoc p<0.001)

R M1 (p<0.01)

R STG (p<0.005).

Naeser et al., 2002; Submitted
Phase 2 rTMS

- Suppress Best Response RH Cortical ROI, as located from Phase 1, for each Nonfluent patient
- Longer Treatment Time (20 Minutes)
- More Days (10 Days) 5 Days / Week for 2 Weeks

To examine whether naming can be improved for 2 mo. or longer, post- rTMS treatment.

Patients are asked not to receive any individualized speech therapy during the study.

Naeser, Martin, Nicholas et al., 2005 Brain Lang
Language Outcome Measures
(Pre- and Post- Phase 2 rTMS)

- First 20 items Boston Naming Test (BNT)
  (Pre-TMS, minimum criterion for Entry: 3 pictures named on BNT.)

- Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Exam
  - Phrase Length, Cookie Theft Picture Description
  - Naming subtests

Naeser, Martin, Nicholas et al., 2005 Brain Lang
Structural MRI scans for 4 chronic aphasia patients, Phase 2 rTMS Study

Naeser, Martin, Nicholas et al., 2005 *Brain Lang*
Primary Language Outcome Measures:
Naming scores for each chronic aphasia patient, pre-rTMS, and at 2 weeks, 2 Mo. and 8 Mo. post- Phase 2 rTMS treatments

BDAE Naming Subtest
Tools/Implements
(Max=12)

Naeser, Martin, Nicholas et al.,
2005 Brain Lang
## Phase 2 Results (n=4)
**Naming Tests, Post- rTMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre- rTMS</th>
<th>Post- rTMS</th>
<th>2Wk</th>
<th>2Mo</th>
<th>8Mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BNT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First 20 Items</td>
<td>Mean 8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D. 4.69</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t=1.732</td>
<td>p=.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t=8.66</td>
<td>p=.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t=2.635</td>
<td>p=.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals</td>
<td>Mean 3.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D. 3.86</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t=5.00</td>
<td>p=.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t=5.00</td>
<td>p=.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t=2.611</td>
<td>p=.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools/Implements</td>
<td>Mean 3.25</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S.D. 1.89</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t=.397</td>
<td>p=.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t=3.67</td>
<td>p=.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t=8.66</td>
<td>p=.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Naeser, Martin, Nicholas et al., 2005 *Brain Lang*
Results, post-Phase 2 rTMS

Propositional Speech

Improvement also observed in

*number of words per longest phrase length*

in elicited, propositional speech

BDAE (Cookie Theft Picture)

*for two nonfluent patients*

*at 2 Mo. post-rTMS:*

One patient (mild-mod. nonfluent) *increased*

from a *3-word longest phrase*, to

a *5-word longest phrase*.

Another patient (moderate nonfluent) *increased*

from a *1-word longest phrase*, to

a *3-word longest phrase*.

Naeser, Martin, Nicholas et al., 2005 *Brain Lang*
Possible mechanism for rTMS effect:

Paradoxical Functional Facilitation

While it may seem paradoxical to suggest that promoting inhibition in R Pars Triangularis, would promote improved naming or improved speech, there are animal studies, and human case reports, which suggest that direct or indirect neural "damage" to specific areas in the central nervous system may result in facilitation of behavioral functions (Kapur, 1996, review).

Kapur has labeled this phenomenon "paradoxical functional facilitation (PFF)."
PFF is known as the "Sprague effect" in animal studies. For example, new collicular lesions may bring about an improvement in visual functioning following an initial occipital lesion.

In humans, there are case studies whereby ambidextrous adults who had stuttered since childhood, no longer stuttered, following unilateral brain damage in adulthood (e.g., stroke or head injury), even as soon as 10 days postonset (Helm-Estabrooks et al., 1986).

Vuilleumier et al., (1996) reported the disappearance of left-sided unilateral neglect, brought on by a right parietal infarct, after the occurrence of a new lesion in the area of the left frontal eye field in the previously undamaged left hemisphere.
Topic 2: Overt Naming fMRI Studies
Pre- and Post- rTMS Series

P1, Nonfluent Aphasia Patient
Good Responder, rTMS Treatment

- R-handed engineer
- LMCA stroke with moderate R hemiparesis
- Age 48, onset

At 9 yr. poststroke, enrolled in rTMS Treatment protocol

At Entry:
- Boston Naming Test: 11 pictures named.
- Cookie Theft Picture Description: 3-word phrase length

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 Brain Lang, in press
P1, Nonfluent Aphasia, Good Responder
Results, post- Phase 2 rTMS Treatments

At 2 mo. and 8 mo. post- Phase 2 TMS
• Improved Boston Naming Test scores
• Improved phrase length (Cookie Theft Picture, BDAE)
  • From 3-word, to 5-word longest phrase length
Lesion present in cortex and subjacent white matter (WM):

- **Lesion in Broca’s area**
  - Pars triangularis (PTr)
  - Pars opercularis (POp)

Some sparing of Medial Subcallosal Fasciculus (MScF), WM deep to Broca’s area (**red arrows**)

Lesion in Middle 1/3 periventricular white matter (M 1/3 PVWM) (**white arrow**)

- **Lesion in lowest premotor and sensorimotor cortex and subjacent WM**
  - Sparing mid-to-upper sensorimotor region (mouth)

- **Lesion in most of Wernicke’s area and**
- **Portion of supramarginal gyrus area**

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 *Brain Lang, in press*
Overt Naming fMRI Method: Block Design

- Minimizes motion artifact
  - Barch et al., 1999
  - Eden et al., 1999
  - Birn et al., 2004
- Takes advantage of hemodynamic response delay
  - Increased bloodflow remains approx. 4 - 8 s after task
- Task related information obtained after task
- Snodgrass & Vanderwart, black and white pictures

Martin, Naeser, Doron et al., 2005 NeuroImage
Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 Brain Lang, in press
P1, Nonfluent Aphasia, Good Responder
Overt Naming fMRIs Pre- and Post-TMS Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Pictures Named</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-TMS 17/60</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Mo. Post-TMS 25/60</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Mo. Post-TMS 35/60</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Mo. Post-TMS 25/60</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Yr. Poststroke 10/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11;5 Yr. Poststroke 13/11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-TMS 17/60 Pictures Named 9 Yr. Poststroke
3 Mo. Post-TMS 25/60 Pictures Named 10;4 Yr. Poststroke
16 Mo. Post-TMS 35/60 Pictures Named 11;5 Yr. Poststroke
46 Mo. Post-TMS 25/60 Pictures Named 13;11 Yr. Poststroke

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 Brain Lang, in press
Conclusions:
Overt Naming fMRIs
Pre- and Post- Series of rTMS Treatments

Suppression of Right Pars Triangularis with rTMS:
• Promoted significant *increase in L Supplementary Motor Area (L SMA) post- rTMS*, over time.
• Gradual shift to *more LH activation* in remaining L perisylvian language areas
• Improved naming and propositional speech

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 *Brain Lang, in press*
Many functional neuroimaging studies with a variety of aphasia patients have stressed that activation in LH perilesional and remaining LH language areas is important for better recovery.

Heiss, Kessler, Thiel, Ghaemi, Karbe, 1999  
Warburton, Price, Swinburn, 1999  
Perani, Cappa, Tettamanti, Rose, Scifo, Miozzo, Basso, Fazio, 2003  
Connor, Blasi, Young, Tucker, Snyder, Kwentus, et al., 2004

Studies also report that after speech therapy, new L hemisphere activation is associated with improvement.

Small, Flores, Noll, 1998  
Leger, Demonet, Ruff, 2002  
Cornelissen, Laine, Tarkiainen, Jarvensivu, Marin, Salmelin, 2003
P2, Poor Responder, rTMS Series
Overt Naming fMRIs, Pre- and Post- rTMS

- Left Hemisphere stroke
- Received tPA (Clot formation from Patent Foramen Ovale)
- No R hemiparesis
- Age 56, M
- R-handed
- Master’s Degree, High school teacher

At 2 yr. poststroke, enrolled in rTMS protocol

At Entry:
- Cookie Theft Picture Description:
  Severe nonfluent speech, 1 - word phrase length, and Stereotypies
- Boston Naming Test: Mean of 1.6 pictures, across 3 test times.

Patient did not meet minimum criterion of 3 pictures named on Boston Naming Test.

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 Brain Lang, in press
P2, Poor Responder
Results, Post- Phase 2 rTMS

At 2 mo. and 6 mo. post- Phase 2 rTMS
- No change in BNT scores
- No change in phrase length (Cookie Theft Picture, BDAE)

Note:
At 4 mo. post- rTMS patient was given a handheld augmentative speech device
- Efforts at verbalization reduced (personal observation)

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 Brain Lang, in press
Overt Naming fMRI Studies, Pre- Post- rTMS Series
P2, Severe Nonfluent Patient with Stereotypies
Poor Responder, 1.5 Yr. Poststroke

Structural T1-weighted MRI, 3D SPGR
LH lesion extends to high supraventricular slices,
almost to brain vertex (white arrows).

- **Lesion Broca’s area**
  Pars triangularis (PTr) and pars opercularis (POp)

  Medial Subcallosal Fasciculus (MScF), white matter (WM) deep to Broca's area (red arrows)

  Lesion in about half of middle 1/3 periventricular white matter

- **Lesion in most of premotor and motor cortex**

- **Lesion in white matter deep to L supplementary motor area (SMA)** (white arrows)
  Likely undercutting of fibers from SMA which pass through the MScF to anterior cingulate (BA 24) and head of caudate deep to Broca’s area.

- **Lesion in most of Wernicke’s area and**
- **Portion of posterior middle temporal gyrus**

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 *Brain Lang, in press*
Structural MRIs, P1 (Good Responder) and P2 (Poor Responder) Duffau et al., (2003) describe the posterior portion of the middle frontal gyrus (at junction of superior frontal sulcus with the precentral sulcus), as an ‘epicenter’ for naming, observed during intraoperative stimulation. P1, no lesion present in this area; P2, lesion present here (vertical arrow).
P2, Poor Responder

Overt Naming fMRIs, Pre- and Post- rTMS:

1) Pre- rTMS
   - 1 yr. 7 mo. poststroke

2) At 3 mo. post- rTMS
   - 2 yr. 4 mo. poststroke

3) At 6 mo. post- rTMS
   - 2 yr. 7 mo. poststroke

Note:
*Little change in activation patterns* in L perilesional and L supplementary motor area (SMA) activation post- rTMS.

Greater L SMA activation (relative to R SMA) with no improvement in naming scores post- rTMS.

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 *Brain Lang, in press*
P2, Poor Responder

Conclusions:
Overt Naming fMRIs
Pre- and Post- Series of rTMS Treatments

Suppression of Right Par Triangularis with rTMS:
• Little change in activation on overt naming fMRI

• Little change in naming or propositional speech post- rTMS

• Unusual lesion site pattern, with high, superior frontal lobe lesion extension. P2 had received tPA.
  • The patients who receive tPA may have unusual lesion site patterns.

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 Brain Lang, in press
P2, Poor Responder

- Patient did not meet minimum criterion at Entry: Mean of 3 pictures named on Boston Naming Test, across 3 test sessions.
  - His Mean score for BNT was only 1.6 pictures named, across 3 test sessions.
  - Patient produced stereotypies, as part of severe nonfluent speech

- Patient had been given a hand-held augmentative speech device starting at 4 mo. post- rTMS. The effect on naming, from use of this device is unknown.

Martin, Naeser, Ho et al., 2009 Brain Lang, in press
Topic 3: Studies with TMS followed by Speech/Language Therapy

• Post-Phase 2, Begin Speech Therapy months later. OR

• New Pilot Study: Begin Speech Therapy immediately after, each 20 minute rTMS treatment:
  10 rTMS treatments, each followed by 3 hours of Constraint-Induced Language Therapy (CILT)

• **Rationale:** rTMS may modulate bi-lateral neural networks for language, so that patients can attain a better outcome from Speech Therapy.

Naeser, Martin, Treglia, Ho et al., 2009 *Brain Lang* Abstract, Academy of Aphasia Meetings
P3, Severe Nonfluent, Global Aphasia, Good Responder
Started Speech Therapy 8 Months Post-Phase 2 rTMS

Severe nonfluent (1-word Phrase Length, but *did not produce stereotypies*), global aphasia

6.5 Yr. Poststroke, Age 58 Yr., F (college-educated)

At Entry:
1-word Phrase Length
Named 4 pictures on the Boston Naming Test

Naeser, Martin, Nicholas, Baker et al. 2005, *Neurocase*
P3, Severe nonfluent (1-word Phrase Length), global aphasia 6.5 Yr. Poststroke, Age 58 Yr., F, Subcortical Lesion only, that included lesion in two white matter areas near ventricle:

1) MScF, Medial Subcallosal Fasciculus. Stratum Subcallosum St Sbc (red arrow).
Yakovlev & Locke, 1961

2) Middle 1/3 periventricular white matter (PVWM)

Naeser, Palumbo, Helm-Estabrooks et al., Brain, 1989; Duffau et al., 2002
Phase 1 rTMS: Location of four RH ROIs each suppressed with 1 Hz rTMS for 10 minutes during separate rTMS sessions, to locate the “Best Response” RH ROI to suppress in order to immediately improve picture naming. P3, severe global aphasia patient, Good Responder
P3, Phase 1 rTMS Results for each of four RH ROIs, each suppressed for 10 minutes
Picture Naming results immediately post- rTMS to each ROI
P3, Post- Phase 2 rTMS Results after suppression of R PTr
Good Responder (6.5 Yr. Poststroke)

Boston Naming Test
(First 20 Pictures)

BDAE Naming Subtest
Animals
(Max=12)

BDAE Naming Subtest
Tools/Implements
(Max=12)

Naeser, Martin, Nicholas, Baker et al. 2005, Neurocase
At 8 Months post-Phase 2 rTMS, P3, a severe nonfluent patient was referred for Speech Therapy, the first time since her stroke (7.5 Yr. Poststroke).

Prior to this, her speech was too severe for a Verbal treatment program; she had used a non-verbal, computer-assisted program (C-ViC).

She was enrolled in the program, Voluntary Control of Involuntary Utterances (VCIU) and after 5 sessions, she could name 28/40 pictured items without prompting.

VCIU Program in Manual of Aphasia Therapy 2004, Helm-Estabrooks, Albert
P1, Nonfluent Aphasia Patient, Good Responder

Started Intensive *Verb Production* Therapy at 24 Months post-Phase 2 TMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-TMS</th>
<th>3 Mo. Post-TMS</th>
<th>16 Mo. Post-TMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17/60</td>
<td>25/60</td>
<td>35/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pictures Named</td>
<td>Pictures Named</td>
<td>Pictures Named</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Yr. Poststroke</td>
<td>10;4 Yr. Poststroke</td>
<td>11;5 Yr. Poststroke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**24 Mo. Post-Phase 2 TMS**

**12 Yr. Poststroke**

P1 entered a Constraint-Induced Aphasia Treatment Program (CIAT) to emphasize *Verb Production* within Informative exchanges - Family, Vacation, Work.

Emerson College
Boston, MA
Speech Pathology Program, 2005

*Intensive* Treatment
75-minutes, 4x / Wk.
20 Hrs. 4 weeks
A B A B design
Goral & Kempler
2008, *Aphasiology*
P1, significant increase in number of Verbs produced during Narrative Generation (Family, Vacation, Work) post-treatment period 2, of CIAT for Verb Production.

At 24 Mo. Post-rTMS (12 Yr. Poststroke) P1 entered a modified Constraint-Induced Aphasia Treatment (CIAT), with single-subject, to emphasize verb production within informative exchanges. Goral & Kempler 2008, Aphasiology
New Pilot Study: Start Speech Therapy *immediately* after a 20-min. rTMS Treatment

- Start *immediately*, post- each 20 minute- rTMS Treatment:
  - 10 rTMS treatments, each followed by 3 hours of Constraint-Induced Language Therapy (CILT)

5 Days per week, for 2 weeks.

Naeser, Martin, Treglia, Ho, Baker et al. 2009 *Brain Lang* Abstract, Academy of Aphasia Meetings
Constraint-Induced Language Therapy (CILT)

A therapy program observed to improve object and action naming, where patients may only respond with verbal output (no gestures, writing, sound effects).

Pulvermüller et al., 2001; Meinzer et al., 2005; Maher et al., 2006

Opaque screen is placed between therapist and patient, to discourage use of gesture or writing.
New Pilot Study: TMS plus Constraint-Induced Language Therapy (CILT)

Inclusion Criteria:

1. Patient has completed all follow-up Language Testing, post-Phase 2 rTMS, with significant improvement Boston Naming Test and/or Naming Subtests on BDAE, Good Responder.

2. Remaining inclusion criteria are the same as for the regular rTMS study:
   - Ages 41-80; no seizures within 1 year;
   - R-handed; single LMCA stroke;
   - Native speaker of English

rTMS Method:

Suppress same Best Response RH ROI as previous series.

10 rTMS Treatments, 1 Hz, 90% MT, 5 Days / Wk., 2 Wks.

Naeser, Martin, Treglia, Ho, Baker et al. 2009 Brain Lang Abstract, Academy of Aphasia Meetings
TMS plus Constraint-Induced Language Therapy (CILT)

Pre- and Post- Language Testing:

Pre-testing: Parts of BDAE, and BNT (x3) (Baseline)
Post-testing: at 1 and 6 Mo. post-intervention: Same tests

Language Outcome Measures:

Significant improvement defined, >2 SD above Baseline on BDAE, BNT.

To examine for possible changes that might occur during intervention, 
**Naming Probe Testing** was administered using
BDAE naming subtests (Actions, Animals, Tools/Implements), and BNT:

- Pre-TMS 10-12x, 4-5 week period
- Daily, immediately post- each CILT session
- Post-TMS 10-12x, 4-5 week period

These time-series data were analyzed using Double Bootstrap method. 

Naeser, Martin, Treglia, Ho, Baker et al. 2009 *Brain Lang* Abstract, Academy of Aphasia Meetings
P3, Severe nonfluent (1-word Phrase Length), global aphasia
Now 12 Yr. Poststroke, Age 58 Yr., F, Subcortical Lesion only
(Previous rTMS Treatment Series, 6.5 Yr. Poststroke)

1) MScF, Medial Subcallosal Fasciculus. Stratum Subcallosum St Sbc (red arrow).
   Yakovlev & Locke, 1961

2) Middle 1/3 periventricular white matter (PVWM)

Naeser, Palumbo, Helm-Estabrooks et al. 1989 Brain; Duffau et al., 2002
Constraint-Induced Language Therapy (CILT)

Therapy Materials, Pictures to be Named

Prior to Intervention (rTMS plus CILT):
Baseline, picture naming ability was tested 3x on 250 color pictures

During CILT Treatment Sessions:
33% of color pictures presented, were always named on Baseline testing (3/3).

33% of color pictures presented, were sometimes named on Baseline testing (1 or 2/3)

33% of color pictures presented for naming, were never named on Baseline testing (0/3)

Pictures to be named were presented in groups of 6 pictures at a time, 2 of each level of difficulty, unique to that patient. 18 Pictures / day

Naeser, Martin, Treglia, Ho, Baker et al. 2009 Brain Lang Abstract, Academy of Aphasia Meetings
Naeser, Martin, Treglia, Ho, Baker et al. 2009 *Brain Lang* Abstract, Academy of Aphasia Meetings
Naming Probe Testing before, during and after Intervention (TMS + CILT).

Time Series Data Points

BDAE Action Naming Subtest

Number of Pictures Correctly Named

Max = 12

Average = 4.6
Average = 6.0
Average = 6.5

Double Bootstrap Method for Autoregression for Interrupted Time Series
McKnight, McKeen, Huitema, 2000
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Naming Probe Testing before, during, and after Intervention (TMS + CILT)

Time Series Data Points
BDAE Tools/Implements Subtest

Number of Pictures Correctly Named
Max = 12

Average = 1.9
Average = 3.0
Average = 4.0

Double Bootstrap Method for Autoregression for Interrupted Time Series
McKnight, McKean, Huitema, 2000
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Naming Probe Testing before, during and after Intervention (TMS + CILT)
Time Series Data Points

Benton Line Orientation Test, Short Form

Number of Lines Correctly Judged
Max = 30

Average = 23.64
Average = 23.30
Average = 25.10

Double Bootstrap Method for Autoregression for Interrupted Time Series
McKnight, McKean, Huitema, 2000
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P3, Severe Nonfluent, Global Aphasia Patient, BDAE Action Naming Pre- and Post- Intervention (TMS plus CILT). Previous Scores are also reported, when Intervention was TMS alone.
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P3, Severe Nonfluent, Global Aphasia Patient, BDAE Tools/Implements Naming Pre- and Post- Intervention (TMS plus CILT). Previous Scores are also reported, when Intervention was TMS alone.
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P3, Severe Nonfluent, Global Aphasia Patient, BDAE Single Word Repetition Pre- and Post- Intervention (TMS plus CILT). Previous Scores are also reported, when Intervention was TMS alone.
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Results, Outcome Measures: at 1 and 6 Months post- \( \text{TMS plus CILT} \):

Significant improvement (>2 SD above Baseline):

- BDAE Action Naming
- Tools/Implements
- Single Word Repetition

P3, Severe Nonfluent Global Aphasia
Now 12 Yr. Poststroke
(Previous rTMS Treatment Series, 6.5 Yr. Poststroke)
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Conclusions, TMS plus CILT Case Study:

• Improvement in *Action Naming* was observed only following her second TMS series, with CILT

• Improvement may have been associated with better modulation of R POp, an area with *mirror neurons*.
  
  (Rizzolatti & Craighero 2004; Wilson et al. 2004; Iacoboni 2008)

• Suppression of R PTr may have promoted less inhibition of R POp from R PTr, via U-fibers.
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Topic 4: DTI studies of parts of Broca’s area

Connections for two parts of Broca’s area, with posterior language zones follow different white matter pathways, in the left hemisphere.

- Posterior Broca’s area (likely Pars Opercularis) connects with anterior supramarginal gyrus via arcuate fasciculus.
- Anterior Broca’s area (likely Pars Triangularis) connects with temporal lobe, via the extreme capsule.

We have undertaken a DTI study that examined these pathways in the Right Hemisphere, as well as in Left. Kaplan, Naeser et al. Submitted

Frey, Campbell, Pike, Petrides, 2008
DTI Study of Arcuate Fasciculus Projections to parts of Broca’s Area

Normal Control, 55 Yr., M

Normal Control, 68 Yr., M

Kaplan, Naeser, Martin, Ho et al. Submitted
Connections to Arcuate Fasciculus from “Broca’s Area” are primarily from Pars Opercularis, not from Pars Triangularis, in the Right Hemisphere, and in the Left.

Note, posterior connections from arcuate fasciculus are to supramarginal gyrus, not temporal lobe; higher slice, necessary to view.
DTI Study: U-Fibers in RH between posterior PTr, and POp

Normal Control, 69 Yr., F

Normal Control, 62 Yr., M

Kaplan, Naeser, Martin, Ho et al. Submitted
Differential Effects of Suppression of Right Pars Triangularis vs. Pars Opercularis

We hypothesize that the integrity of mirror neurons (R POp, BA 44) in humans is critical not only to language acquisition but also to possibly foster recovery from nonfluent aphasia.

Neurons in PTr (BA 45) might serve to modulate activity of mirror neurons in BA 44 through inhibitory interaction.

If so, in nonfluent aphasia, hyperactivity of neurons in R BA 45, would excessively suppress R BA 44 and possibly hinder recovery from aphasia.

Naeser et al. 2002; Naeser et al. 2005 Brain Lang; Martin, Naeser, Ho et al. 2009 Brain Lang, in press; Kaplan et al. submitted
Differential Effects of Suppression of PTr vs. POp cont’d.

Suppression of hyperactivity in R BA 45 might permit better modulation of R BA 44 (and other L and R temporo-parietal regions of the temporo-parietal-premotor network important for object naming and verb production).

Price, Warburton, Moore, Frackowiak, Friston, 2001
Damasio, Tranel, Grabowski, Adolphs, Damasio, 2004
Gold & Buckner, 2002

Better modulation, in turn, can lead to improved object naming and verb production in chronic, nonfluent aphasia.

Naeser et al. 2002; Naeser et al. 2005 Brain Lang; Martin, Naeser, Ho et al. 2009 Brain Lang, in press; Kaplan et al. Submitted
A Diagonal Sulcus is present in the Inferior Frontal Gyrus in 50% of hemispheres. Within “Broca’s Area,” the Diagonal Sulcus is not reliable to separate Pars Triangularis from Pars Opercularis (Amunts, et al. 2004). Cytoarchitectonic Studies of Broca’s area, BA 45 (PTr), and BA 44 (POp): Two cases showing one R hemisphere; one L hemisphere, where Diagonal Sulcus was present. In the R hemisphere case, the Diagonal Sulcus separated BA 45 from BA 44. In the L hemisphere case, the Diagonal Sulcus was within BA 44. Thus, the Diagonal Sulcus is not reliable on surface anatomy alone, to separate BA 45, from BA 44.

Right Broca’s Area: Diagonal Sulcus (ds) separates BA 44, POp (Red) from BA 45, PTr (Yellow)

Nishitani, Schurmann, Amunts, Hari, 2005

Right Broca’s Area: Diagonal Sulcus (ds) separates BA 44, POp (Red) from BA 45, PTr (Yellow)

Amunts et al., 2004

Left Broca’s Area: Diagonal Sulcus (ds) is within BA 44, POp (Red).
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Amunts et al., 2004